State Snapshot Report

Public Schools

2009 Science Assessment Content

Guided by a new framework, the NAEP science assessment was updated in 2009 to keep the content current with key developments in science, curriculum standards, assessments, and research. The 2009 framework organizes science content into three broad content areas.

Physical science includes concepts related to properties and changes of matter, forms of energy, energy transfer and conservation, position and motion of objects, and forces affecting motion.

Life science includes concepts related to organization and development, matter and energy transformations, interdependence, heredity and reproduction, and evolution and diversity.

Earth and space sciences includes concepts related to objects in the universe, the history of the Earth, properties of Earth materials, tectonics, energy in Earth systems, climate and weather, and biogeochemical cycles.

The 2009 science assessment was composed of 143 questions at grade 4, 162 at grade 8, and 179 at grade 12. Students responded to only a portion of the questions, which included both multiple-choice questions and questions that required a written response.

Compare the Average Score in 2009 to Other States/Jurisdictions



¹ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).


In 2009, the average score in Montana was

- lower than that in 1 state/jurisdiction
- higher than those in 37 states/jurisdictions
- not significantly different from those in 8 states/jurisdictions
- 5 states/jurisdictions did not participate

Overall Results

- In 2009, the average score of fourth-grade students in Montana was 160. This was higher than the average score of 149 for public school students in the nation.
- The percentage of students in Montana who performed at or above the NAEP Proficient level was 43 percent in 2009. This percentage was greater than the nation (32 percent).
- The percentage of students in Montana who performed at or above the NAEP Basic level was 85 percent in 2009. This percentage was greater than the nation (71 percent).

Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results



- * Significantly different (p < .05) from Montana. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.
- # Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

Results for Student Groups in 2009

			. 0.00	iiiugoo ut	
	Percent of	Avg.	or	above	Percent at
Reporting Groups	students	score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Gender					
Male	51	160	85	43	#
Female	49	160	86	42	#
Race/Ethnicity					
White	83	164	90	47	1
Black	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Hispanic	3	149	73	26	#
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
American Indian/Alaska Native	12	137	61	16	#
National School Lunch Program					
Eligible	41	149	75	28	#
Not eligible	58	168	92	53	1

Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.

Percentages at

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, and the "Unclassified" category for race/ethnicity are not displayed.

Score Gaps for Student Groups

- In 2009, male students in Montana had an average score that was not significantly different from female students.
- Data are not reported for Black students in Montana, because reporting standards were not met.
- In 2009, Hispanic students had an average score that was 14 points lower than White students. This performance gap was narrower than the nation (32 points).
- In 2009, students who were eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch, an indicator of low family income, had an average score that was 19 points lower than students who were not eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch. This performance gap was narrower than the nation (29 points).

NOTE: Statistical comparisons are calculated on the basis of unrounded scale scores or percentages SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Science Assessment.